IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 9613 of 2003

Between:

1 G. Deepa D/o. Narasimha
r/o. Sivarampalli, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad.
2 Ch. Vinita D/o. Vittal Reddy
r/o. Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.
3 H. Damodar Reddy S/o. Narayan REddy
r/o. Road No. 71, Bl,ock No. 3, Jubili Hills, Hyderabad.
4 Doddamani Rajiv S/o. Venkoba Rao
r/o., Hanchinal Camp, Sindhanoor, Raichur District,
Karnataka.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1 State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to the

Government Education, Department (collegiate), Secretariat

Building, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Rep. by its Chairman,
Saifabad, Hyderabad.
3 The Vice Chancellor,
JNTU, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
4 The Convenor, EAMCET-2003,
J.N.T.U. Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus: a. By directing the respondents to prepare and publish the final key for the Eamcet-2003 duly taking into account and consideration the objections raised to the correctness of the draft key published on 29th April 2003; b. by directing the respondents to take into consideration

and account the Text Book prescribed and published by the Telugu Akademi, Hyderabad as the basis while finalizing the Eamcet-2003 key; c. by directing the respondents to publish the Eamcet-2003 key prepared in terms of Rule 9(9) of A.P. Common Entrance Test for entry into Engineering, Architect, Pharmacy, Agriculture, Medical and Dental courses Rule 2003 first; and d. Direct the respondents to publish the results of the Eamcet-2003 only after publication of the final key for Eamcet-2003.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.NUTY RAM MOHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: GP FOR EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondents 3 and 4: Mr. C. Kodanda Ram

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the subject matter in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

June 13, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to. –

1 Principal Secretary to the Govt., State of Andhra Pradesh, Education,

Department (collegiate), Secretariat

Building, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education,
Saifabad, Hyderabad.
3 The Vice Chancellor,
JNTU, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
4 The Convenor, EAMCET-2003,
J.N.T.U. Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Education, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

Two C.D. Copies.